

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT TACOMA

10 NATHAN ROBERT GONINAN,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF
14 CORRECTIONS, et al.,

15 Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05714-BHS-JRC

ORDER RENOTING PENDING
MOTIONS

16 The District Court has referred this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 civil rights action to United States
17 Magistrate Judge J. Richard Creatura pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A) and (B), and local
18 Magistrate Judge Rules MJR1, MJR3 and MJR4.

19 Plaintiff Nathan Robert Goninan (a.k.a. Nonnie M. Lotusflower) is a transgender prisoner
20 currently housed in the Washington State Penitentiary. She filed a complaint along with a motion
21 for temporary restraining order and a motion to appoint counsel, alleging defendants have denied
22 her gender-affirming therapies while she is incarcerated. Dkt. 1, 8. The Court ordered that the
23 complaint and other materials be served on defendants. Dkt. 12. However, the noting date for
24

1 plaintiff's motion for temporary restraining order had already passed and plaintiff did not ask
2 that it be renoted. *See* Dkt. 9. Because defendants had not yet entered waivers of service or
3 notices of appearance, the Court did not order defendants to respond to the motion for temporary
4 restraining order at that time.

5 Defendants' counsel has now entered a notice of appearance, waivers of service, and a
6 summary response to plaintiff's motions. Dkts. 13-18; 21. Plaintiff has also filed two motions for
7 oral argument. Dkts. 19, 20. Because the noting date had passed before defendants received the
8 motion for temporary restraining order, defendants request the motion either be denied or be
9 renoted to allow them to respond. Dkt. 21.


10 District courts have inherent authority to control their docket. *Ready Transp., Inc. v. AAR*
11 *Mfg., Inc.*, 627 F.3d 402, 404 (9th Cir. 2010). Therefore it is ORDERED:

12 Plaintiff's motion for temporary restraining order (Dkt. 9), motion for appointment of
13 counsel (Dkt. 10), motion to file without first exhausting administrative remedies (Dkt. 11),
14 motion for oral arguments (Dkt. 19), and second motion for oral arguments (Dkt. 20) are renoted.
15 The Clerk is directed to renote the above noted motions for **December 15, 2017**.

16 Defendants are directed to respond to the substantive aspects of the above noted motions
17 on or before **December 11, 2017**.

18 The Clerk is finally directed to provide plaintiff with a copy of this order.

19 Dated this 22nd day of November, 2017.

20
21 
22 J. Richard Creatura
23 United States Magistrate Judge
24